



Sh. Hardev Singh S/o Sh. Hakam Singh,  
R/o Near Maha Ranjit Singh College,  
VPO Malout, Tehsil Malout,  
Distt. Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Head Master,  
Govt Primary School,  
Ajit Nagri 2, Malout,  
Distt . Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 425/ 2020 and 426/2020**

**PRESENT:     None for the Complainant**  
**Mrs.Ramandeep Kaur, Head Master, Govt Primary School, Malout for the**  
**Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 18.05.2020 has sought information regarding copy of certificates of University Bodh Gaya Bihar submitted by Smt.Santosh Kumari for getting appointment as JBT Teacher in Primary Govt School Ajit Nagri-2 Malout – copy of appointment letter – transfer orders – promotion order and service book record – enquiry report of certificates and other information concerning the office of Head Master, Govt Primary School, Ajit Nagri -2 Malout. The appellant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 07.07.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant and the complainant has received the same.

The Commission has also received a letter from the office of O/o Head Master, Govt Primary School, Ajit Nagri 2, Malout, on 04.01.2021 stating that since the information that has been sought by the appellant was in the custody of Block Primary Education Office, the complainant was asked to get the information from the concerned BPEO.

However, now the information has been provided by the BPEO and the complainant is satisfied with the information. The respondent has also sent the acknowledgement of the appellant having received the information.

It has also come to the notice that the complainant has filed a similar complainant case No.426/2020 for getting exactly the same information from the office of BPEO Malout and since the information in complaint case No.425/2020 has been provided, both the cases are clubbed together and the case is closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.01.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**Khushwant Singh**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh.Nitin Kumar Tayal,  
The Gats Urban Cooperative Thrift &  
Credit Society,  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Jandu Tower,  
Miller Ganj, Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
District Administration Complex,  
Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
District Administration Complex,  
Faridkot

...Respondent

**Appeal case No.1769 of 2020**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Jagdeep Singh, RTI Clerk O/o DC Faridkot**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 27.02.2020 has sought information regarding copy of the comments/notings/proceedings made/done on receipt of letter dated 04.12.2019, email dated 04.12.2019, 25.12.2019, 08.02.2020 and other information concerning the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority 22.05.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 18.11.2020 through video conferencing at DAC, Faridkot. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 25.06.2020, 18.09.2020 and again on 26.10.2020.

The appellant was absent nor had communicated any discrepancies. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies, if any in writing to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO was directed to remove the same.

**Hearing dated 19.01.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. **The respondent** present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant.

The appellant is absent on 2<sup>nd</sup> consecutive hearing nor has communicated any discrepancies as per last order. It is presumed that the appellant is satisfied with the information and does not want to pursue his case further.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.01.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Shakti Bhushan, S/o Sh. Krishan Kaushal,  
Street Bhaini Sahib, Ward NO-8,  
Dhanola,  
Distt. Barnala.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SDM-cum- Licensing & Registration  
Authority, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o State Transport Commissioner,  
Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

..... Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1977 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh. Shakti Bhushan as the Appellant**  
**Sh. Rajinder Singh, Superintendent for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 08.11.2018 has sought information regarding registration of new Swaraj Tractors alongwith sale bills and other information concerning the office of SDM Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant was denied the information by PIO vide letter dated 05.12.2018 stating that the information sought relates to the 3<sup>rd</sup> party after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.03.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 21.10.2019. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

The case was again heard on **08.01.2020**. The respondent present pleaded that since the information is 3<sup>rd</sup> party, it cannot be provided and the reply has been sent to the appellant. The appellant was absent and vide email has sought exemption due to illness.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observed that there is no document which establishes that the disclosure of information has a larger public interest. The appellant was directed to appear and convince the Commission that there is a larger public interest involved in the disclosure of information.

On the date of hearing on **15.06.2020**, the respondent present pleaded that since the information is 3<sup>rd</sup> party, it cannot be provided. The appellant

The appellant appeared late via phone and requested that he may be given one more opportunity to plead his case. The case was adjourned and the appellant was given one more opportunity to appear and plead his case.

On the date of hearing **19.08.2020**, as per information by DC office, due to strike, no staff of SDM office had appeared.

The appellant claimed that the information has been denied by PIO O/o SDM Sri Mukatsar Sahib whereas the PIOs of other districts have supplied the information on the order of State Information Commissioners.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing the appellant, invoking section 10 of the RTI Act, the Commission directed the PIO to provide copies of all the bill after severance of the record containing information which is exempt from disclosure i.e. names and addresses of the customers not to be disclosed.

On the date of last hearing on 18.11.2020, the respondent did not provide the information yet.. The appellant was absent. The PIO was given one last opportunity to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands.

**Hearing dated 19.01.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent present pleaded that the information is being prepared and assured to provide to the appellant within a week. The appellant has agreed for the same.

The PIO is directed to provide the information to the appellant within a week as assured and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.01.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16,**  
**Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864114, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),**  
**Email: [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**



Sh.Deepak Bholewasia S/o  
Sh.Surinder Kumar,  
R/o Mamdot Uttar,  
Tehsil & Distt. Ferozepur.

...Appellant

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SDM-Cum- Registering & Licensing Authority,  
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o State Transport commissioner,  
Punjab, Chandigarh.

Respondent

**Appeal Case .No. 30 of 2020**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Rajinder Singh, Suptd. for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 18.08.2020 has sought information regarding registration numbers assigned to the vehicles which applied for registration coming from outside the State from 01.01.2018 to 15.08.2019, copies of old licenses on the basis of which new licenses were issued to LMV, Cabs, Buses, Road Rollers and other information concerning the office of SDM-cum-Registering & Licensing Authority, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant was provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 30.09.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing first on 19.08.2020 through video conferencing at Sri Mukatsar Sahib. Both the parties were absent. As per information by DC office, due to strike, no staff of SDM office had appeared. The case was adjourned.

The case was last heard on 18.11.2020. The respondent present pleaded that since the information regarding point-2 does not relate to them and information regarding points 1 & 3 being 3<sup>rd</sup> party, it cannot be provided.

The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to appear on the next date of hearing for the commission to take this forward otherwise the commission will take a decision ex parte.

**Hearing dated 19.01.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. Sh.Rajinder Singh, Superintendent is present on behalf of the Respondent.

The appellant is absent on 3<sup>rd</sup> consecutive hearing. It appears that the appellant is not interested to pursue his case, hence I do not see any point in adjourning it yet again.

Case **disposed off** due to non pursuance.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.01.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**